

Guest Column | April 16, 2018

Considerations For Biologic Drug Substance And Drug Product Testing

By Bikash Chatterjee, President and Chief Science Officer, Pharmatech Associates

Most manufacturing strategies today include some level of support from an outsourcing service provider such as a CMO or contract testing lab. There has been a great deal of discussion regarding the elevated role these providers play in the drug development process. Today's CMO is likely to not only execute critical development activities but also provide insight based upon their own experiences with multiple processes.



Biologic drug development consists of two fundamental components: the drug substance (DS) development, which can include the master and working cell bank development, manufacturing process development, and scale-up; and the drug product (DP) development, which includes the filling of the drug substance into the primary container. If the primary container is a medical device, such as a prefilled syringe, there is an additional step where the final drug product is placed into the final packaging tray or system to form the final combination product. While the FDA does not explicitly define the testing required as a product moves through its development life cycle, recognized standards provide guidance based upon where you are in your drug development and clinical program. Let's focus on the considerations for building a testing strategy for a drug product when using a CMO.

Drug Development Standards – ICH And FDA

While all regulatory authorities provide some level of guidance regarding the characterization and measurement of drug performance and quality, recognized harmonized standards reflect a consensus of best practices among the major regulatory agencies. The International Council for

Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) is one such set of standards.¹

Distribution of several of the most commonly applied standards as they pertain to the chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC) component of the drug development life cycle is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Relevant ICH guidelines pertaining to drug development

Specifically, ICH Q6 relates to establishing specifications for both DS and DP for new chemical entities. The guidance provides the following recommended tests and acceptance criteria considered generally applicable to all new drug substances:

Test	Explanation
Description	A qualitative statement about the state (e.g., solid, liquid) and color of the new drug substance. If any of these characteristics change during storage, this change should be investigated and appropriate action taken.
Identification	Identification testing should optimally discriminate among compounds of closely related structures that are likely to be present. Identification tests should be specific for the new drug substance, e.g., infrared spectroscopy.
Assay	A specific, stability-indicating procedure should be included to determine the content of the new drug substance. In many cases it is possible to employ the same procedure (e.g., HPLC) for both assay of the new drug substance and quantitation of impurities. In cases where use of a non- specific assay is justified, other supporting analytical procedures should be used to achieve overall specificity.
Impurities	Organic and inorganic impurities and residual solvents are included in this category.

In addition to the universal tests listed above, the guidance specifies the following additional tests which should be included, if appropriate, in the specification when the tests impact the quality of the drug substance for batch control:

Test	Description
Physicochemical properties	Tests performed in this category should be determined by the physical nature of the new drug substance and its intended use.
Water content	This test is important in cases where the new drug substance is known to be hygroscopic, degraded by moisture, or a stoichiometric hydrate.
Inorganic impurities	The need for inclusion of tests and acceptance criteria for inorganic impurities (e.g., catalysts) should be studied during development and based on knowledge of the manufacturing process.
Microbial limits	There may be a need to specify the total count of aerobic microorganisms, the total count of yeasts and molds, and the absence of specific objectionable bacteria (e.g., Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli, salmonella, Pseudomonas aeruginosa).

While other tests are universally accepted as described by ICH Q6, those cited above are the most applicable to large molecule DS.

Process Validation And Control Strategy

Another relevant standard to consider is the FDA Process Validation guidance, updated from the 1987 guidance in 2011.² This guidance transformed process validation from an activity typically conducted at the end of the development process to a life cycle concept. The first stage of process validation is focused on establishing process understanding. Implicit in this is the establishment of an effective control strategy.

As defined in ICH Q8, Q10, and Q11, every drug substance manufacturing process must have an associated control strategy. A control strategy can include, but is not limited to:

- controls on material attributes (raw materials, starting materials, intermediates, reagents, primary packaging materials for the drug substance, etc.)
- controls implicit in the design of the manufacturing process (e.g., sequence of purification steps [biotechnological/biological products] or order of addition of reagents [chemical products])
- in-process controls (including in-process tests and process parameters)
- controls on drug substance (e.g., release testing).

CMO Testing

The testing strategy at your CMO will reflect the drug sponsor's CMC and quality interest for both the DS and DP. This is influenced to some extent by where you are in your overall development program. For example, when considering what testing to conduct when transferring a bulk drug substance (BDS) to a drug product CMO, the drug sponsor must consider factors which could impact the drug substance during transit and storage at the DP CMO. Also, the BDS will be shipped frozen so the drug sponsor will typically pull side samples for testing upon receipt. In addition, the CMO will want assurance that the material is suitable to process in their aseptic suites. As the product moves through the manufacturing process, the testing strategy will reflect the control strategy to ensure the DW/DP safety, quality, integrity, purity, and potency.

An example testing strategy is in Table 1.

Table 1: DS/DP Testing Strategy

Considerations For Biologic Drug Substance And Drug Product Testing

Process Step	Testing/Samples	Justification
Incoming BDS	Identity, bioburden, endotoxin based on side samples	Drug product manufacturer's requirement to ensure incoming material is correct and endotoxin-free, and has an acceptably low bioburden to preclude facility and equipment contamination
Prior to formulation and fill/finish	Routine environmental testing	Required to support aseptic operations
During formulation: pre- sterile filtration	Concentration, pH, conductivity, bioburden of formulated product	Testing the thawed material against specification
During formulation: post- filtration	Sterility, filter integrity testing	Filter integrity
Filling	Weight checks; dynamic monitoring of the air; personnel monitoring; visual inspection; sterility testing at beginning, middle, and end of fill	Manufacturing and aseptic processing controls
Post-fill	Color and appearance, label inspection, certificate of analysis (COA) testing, lot retains	Release testing and investigation samples

Conclusion

With the widespread adoption of outsourcing as both a development and commercial manufacturing strategy, having a clearly defined testing strategy is essential for both the drug sponsor and CMO. No explicit standards dictate a testing strategy for the DS or DP, but internationally recognized harmonized standards such as ICH Q6 provide a strong basis for developing a cogent plan based upon a universal testing strategy. In the end, the drivers for testing will be closely tied to the level of characterization performed by the drug sponsor for the DS and DP during development.

References:

- 1. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, http://www.ich.org/home.html
- 2. Guidance for Industry Process Validation: General Principles and Practices, 2011, https://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidances/ucm070336.pdf

About The Author:

Bikash Chatterjee is president and chief science officer for Pharmatech Associates. He has over 30 years' experience in the design and development of pharmaceutical, biotech, medical device, and IVD products. His work has guided the successful approval and commercialization of over a dozen new products in the U.S. and Europe.

Chatterjee is a member of the USP National Advisory Board, and is the pastchairman of the Golden Gate Chapter of the American Society of Quality. He is the author of Applying Lean Six Sigma in the Pharmaceutical Industry and is a keynote speaker at international conferences. Chatterjee holds a B.A. in biochemistry and a B.S. in chemical engineering from the University of California at San Diego.

